

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,604	03/08/2004	Richard S. Bein	355492-2971	1765
38706 FOLEY & LA	7590 09/14/2007 RINER II P		EXAMINER	
1530 PAGE M	ILL ROAD		SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	I e
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,604	BEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jagadishwar R. Samala	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeation. In period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 17 July 2007				
	☐ This action is non-final.	·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	·	•			
·	•	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the app					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		9			
6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	a and/or clastion requirement	<i>x</i> -			
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	·			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Torong Priority arradi do d.c.o. g	110(0) (0) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	•			
	cuments have been received in Ap	plication No.			
<u> </u>	he priority documents have been r	•			
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
,					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 4) \square Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application			

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on July 17, 2007 has been entered.

Previous rejections that are not reiterated herein are withdraw.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on July 17, 2007 with respect to rejection of claims 1-16 under U.S.C. 102 (b) have been fully considered and are not persuasive. Applicant argues that both Evans et al. (US 6,342,202) and Greff et al. (US 5,667,767) fails to teach a ratio of polymer to contrast agent of greater than 0.055 when the contrast agent is employed in amounts greater than 40 weight percent.

Response.

First the instant claim 1, wherein the ratio of biocompatible polymer to the water-insoluble contrast agent is about 0.055 or greater. The set forth upper limit "greater" is not clear. Greater means to what extent it is greater.

Secondly, both Evans et al, and Greff et al clearly teaches the ration of biocompatible polymer to the water-insoluble contrast agents used in the compositions suitable for use in embolizing blood vessels. Evan teaches composition comprising 5.8 weight percent poly(carbonate-urethane), 20 weight percent tantalum in DMSO (This proportion will give a ratio of 0.29, see col. 8, Examples 2 and 3). This ratio reads on the limitation of the instant claims. And also, Greff teaches composition comprising 6 weight percent of the ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer, 35 weight percent of a tantalum contrast agent in DMSO (again this proportion will give a ration of 0.171, see col. 4,

Page 3

lines 40-44). Further, any prior art that is "capable of performing said function in the instant invention (the prior art need not recite the same function, but only the same means) is encompassed by said means. For example, embolization techniques requiring deep vascular penetration will require a composition having specifically, suitable polymers ideally be soluble in the biocompatible solvent, be easy to deliver via a catheter or a syringe, be compatible with a contrast agent, and the resulting precipitate should form a well defined coherent mass to successful embolization.

Likewise, compatibility with the contrast agent is necessary in order to permit monitoring the in vivo injection of the composition and to confirm its presence after the procedure is complete.

Conclusion

- No claims are allowed at this time.
- 2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the

Art Unit: 1618

statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jagadishwar R. Samala whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jagadishwar R Samala Examiner Art Unit 1618

Zohreh Fay Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1618